arXiv:1610.08160v1 [math.DS] 26 Oct 2016

A uniform estimate for rate functions in large deviations

Luchezar Stoyanov[1]

Abstract. Given Hölder continuous functions f and ψ on a sub-shift of finite type Σ_A^+ such that ψ is not cohomologous to a constant, the classical large deviation principle holds ([OP], [Kif], [Y]) with a rate function $I_\psi \geq 0$ such that $I_\psi(p) = 0$ iff $p = \int \psi \, d\mu$, where $\mu = \mu_f$ is the equilibrium state of f. In this paper we derive a uniform estimate from below for I_ψ for p outside an interval containing $\tilde{\psi} = \int \psi \, d\mu$, which depends only on the sub-shift Σ_A^+, the function f, the norm $|\psi|_\infty$, the Hölder constant of ψ and the integral $\tilde{\psi}$. Similar results can be derived in the same way e.g. for Axiom A diffeomorphisms on basic sets.

Keywords: large deviations, rate function, subshift of finite type, equilibrium state

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A05, 37B10, 37D20

1 Introduction

Let $T : X \longrightarrow X$ be a transformation preserving an ergodic probability measure μ on a set X. Given an observable $\psi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Birkhoff's ergodic theorem implies that

$$\frac{\psi_n(x)}{n} = \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(T(x)) + \ldots + \psi(T^{n-1}(x))}{n} \to \int_X \psi \, d\mu$$

for μ-almost all $x \in X$. It follows from general large deviation principles (see [OP], [Kif], [Y]) that if X is a mixing basic set for an Axiom A diffeomorphism T, and f and ψ are Hölder continuous functions on X with *equilibrium states* $\mu = \mu_f$ and μ_ψ, respectively, and ψ is not cohomologous to a constant (see the definition below), then there exists a real-analytic *rate function* $I = I_\psi : \text{Int}(\mathcal{I}_\psi) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$, where $\mathcal{I}_\psi = \left\{ \int \psi \, dm : m \in \mathcal{M}_T \right\}$, such that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu \left(\left\{ x \in X : \frac{\psi_n(x)}{n} \in (p - \delta, p + \delta) \right\} \right) = -I_\psi(p) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $p \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{I}_\psi)$. Here $\mathcal{M}_T$ is the *set of all T-invariant Borel probability measures* on X. Moreover, $I(p) = 0$ if and only if $p = \int \psi \, d\mu$, and the (closed) interval $\mathcal{I}_\psi$ is non trivial, since ψ is not cohomologous to a constant.

Similar large deviation principles apply for any subshift of finite type $\sigma : \Sigma_A^+ \longrightarrow \Sigma_A^+$ on an one-sided shift space

$$\Sigma_A^+ = \{ \xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m, \ldots) : 1 \leq \xi_i \leq s_0, A(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}) = 1 \text{ for all } \ i \geq 0 \ \}.$$

Here A is a $s_0 \times s_0$ matrix of 0's and 1's ($s_0 \geq 2$). We assume that A is *aperiodic*, i.e. there exists an integer $M > 0$ such that $A^M(i, j) > 0$ for all i, j (see e.g. Ch. 1 in [PP]). The *shift map* σ is defined by $\sigma(\xi) = \xi'$, where $\xi'_i = \xi_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$. We consider Σ_A^+ with a metric d_θ defined for some constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ by $d_\theta(\xi, \eta) = 0$ if $\xi = \eta$ and $d_\theta(\xi, \eta) = \theta^k$ if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $k \geq 0$ is the maximal integer with $\xi_i = \eta_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley 6009 WA, Perth, Australia; E-mail: luchezar.stoyanov@uwa.edu.au

For any function $g : \Sigma_A^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ set

$$\mathrm{var}_k g = \sup\{|g(\xi) - g(\eta)| : \xi_i = \eta_i,\ 0 \le i \le k\} \quad , \quad |g|_\theta = \sup\left\{\frac{\mathrm{var}_k g}{\theta^k} : k \ge 0\right\} ,$$

$$|g|_\infty = \sup\{|g(\xi)| : \xi \in \Sigma_A^+\} \quad , \quad \|g\|_\theta = |g|_\theta + |g|_\infty .$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ the *space of all functions* g on Σ_A^+ with $\|g\|_\theta < \infty$.

Two functions f, g on $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ are called *cohomologous* if there exists a continuous function h on Σ_A^+ such that $f = g + h \circ \sigma - h$.

The *Ruelle transfer operator* $L_f : C(\Sigma_A^+) \longrightarrow C(\Sigma_A^+)$ is defined by

$$L_f g(x) = \sum_{\sigma(y)=x} e^{f(y)}\, g(y) .$$

Here $C(\Sigma_A^+)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions $g : \Sigma_A^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the metric d_θ. Denote by $\Pr(\psi)$ the *topological pressure*

$$\Pr(\psi) = \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma} \Big(h_\sigma(m) + \int \psi \, dm\Big)$$

of ψ with respect to the map σ, where $\mathcal{M}_\sigma$ is the *set of all σ-invariant probability measures on* Σ_A^+ and $h_\sigma(m)$ is the *measure theoretic entropy* of m with respect to σ (see [PP] or [R]). Given $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$, there exists a unique σ-invariant probability measure μ_ψ on Σ_A^+ such that

$$\Pr(\psi) = h_\sigma(\mu_\psi) + \int \psi \, d\mu_\psi$$

(see e.g. Theorem 3.5 in [PP]). The measure μ_ψ is called the *equilibrium state* of ψ.

For brevity throughout we write $\int h\, dm$ for $\int_{\Sigma_A^+} h\, dm$.

In what follows we assume that $\theta \in (0,1)$ is **fixed constant,** $f : \Sigma_A^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ **is a fixed function in** $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ **and** $\mu = \mu_f$**.**

As we mentioned earlier, it follows from the Large Deviation Theorem ([Kif], [OP], [Y]) that if ψ is not cohomologous to a constant, then there exists a real analytic *rate function* $I = I_\psi : \mathrm{Int}(\mathcal{I}_\psi) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $I(p) = 0$ iff $p = \int \psi \, d\mu$ for which (1.1) holds. More precisely, we have

$$-I(p) = \inf\{\Pr(f + q\psi) - \Pr(f) - qp :\ q \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{1.2}$$

It is also known that

$$\left[\frac{d}{dq} \Pr(f + q\psi)\right]_{q=\eta} = \int \psi \, d\mu_{f+\eta\psi}, \tag{1.3}$$

and $\Pr(f + q\psi)$ is a strictly convex function of q (see [PP], [R] or [La]).

In his paper we derive an estimate from below for $I_\psi(p)$ for p outside an interval containing

$$\tilde{\psi} = \int \psi \, d\mu.$$

The estimate depends only on $|\psi|_\infty$, $\tilde{\psi}$, $|\psi|_\theta$ and some constants determined by the given function f. In what follows we use the notation $\min \psi = \min_{x \in \Sigma_A^+} \psi(x)$,

$$b = b_\psi = \max\{1, |\psi|_\theta\} \quad , \quad B_\psi = \tilde{\psi} - \min\{0, \min \psi\}.$$

Since $\tilde{\psi} > \min \psi$ (ψ is not cohomologous to a constant), we have $\tilde{\psi} - \min \psi > 0$, so $B_\psi > 0$ always.

Theorem 1. *Let $f, \psi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ be real-valued functions. Assume that ψ is not cohomologous to a constant, and let $0 < \delta_0 < B_\psi$. Then for all $p \notin [\tilde{\psi} - \delta_0, \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0]$ we have*

$$I_\psi(p) \geq \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2},$$

where $q_0 = \min\left\{C, \frac{1}{b}\right\}$ for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on $|f - \Pr(f)|_\infty$, $|f|_\theta$, $|\psi|_\infty$, $\tilde{\psi}$ and δ_0.

The motivation to try to obtain estimates of the kind presented in Theorem 1 comes from attempts to get some kind of an 'approximate large deviation principle' for characteristic functions χ_K of arbitrary compact sets K of positive measure. In the special case when the boundary ∂K of K is 'relatively regular' (e.g. $\mu(\partial K) = 0$) large deviation results were established by Leplaideur and Saussol in [LS], and also by Kachurovskii and Podvigin [KP]. The next example presents a first step in the case of an arbitrary compact set K of positive measure.

Example 1. Let K be a compact subset of Σ_A^+ with $0 < \mu(K) < 1$, let $0 < \delta_0 \leq \mu(K)$, and let ψ be a Hölder continuous function that approximates χ_K from above, i.e. $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi = 1$ on K and $\psi = 0$ outside a small neighbourhood V of K. Then $b = |\psi|_\theta >> 1$ if V is sufficiently small, so q_0 in Theorem 1 has the form $q_0 = 1/b$. It then follows from Theorem 1 (in fact, from Lemma 1 below) that $I_\psi(p) \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2|\psi|_\theta}$ for $p \notin [\tilde{\psi} - \delta_0, \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0]$.

A result similar to Theorem 1 can be stated e.g. for Axiom A diffeomorphisms on basic sets. Recall that if $F : M \longrightarrow M$ is a C^1 Axiom A diffeomorphism on a Riemannian manifold M, a non-empty subset Λ of M is called a *basic set* for F if Λ is a locally maximal compact F-invariant subset of M which is not a single orbit, F is hyperbolic and transitive on Λ, and the periodic points of F in Λ are dense in Λ (see e.g. [B] or Appendix III in [PP]). It follows from the existence of Markov partitions that there exists a two-sided subshift of finite type $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ and a continuous surjective map $\pi : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Lambda$ such that: (i) $F \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$, and (ii) for every Hölder continuous function g on Λ, $f = g \circ \pi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and π is one-to-one almost everywhere with respect to the equilibrium state of f. Given a Hölder continuous function g on Λ, the rate function I_g is naturally related to the rate function I_f of $f = g \circ \pi$. On the other hand, f is cohomologous to a function $f' \in \mathcal{F}_{\sqrt{\theta}}(\Sigma_A)$ which depends on forward coordinates only, so $f' \in \mathcal{F}_{\sqrt{\theta}}(\Sigma_A^+)$. Applying Theorem 1 to f' provides a similar result for f and therefore for g.

For some hyperbolic systems, large deviation principles similar to (1.1), however with shrinking intervals, have been established recently in [PoS] and [PeS].

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1 The Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem

For convenience of the reader we state here the part of the estimates in [St] that will be used in this section.

Theorem 2 (Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius) *Let the $s_0 \times s_0$ matrix A and $M > 0$ be as in Sect. 1, let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ be real-valued, and let $b_f = \max\{1, |f|_\theta\}$. Then:*

(i) *There exist a unique* $\lambda = \lambda_f > 0$, *a probability measure* $\nu = \nu_f$ *on* Σ_A^+ *and a positive function* $h = h_f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ *such that* $L_f h = \lambda\, h$ *and* $\int h\, d\nu = 1$. *The spectral radius of* L_f *as an operator on* $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ *is* λ, *and its essential spectral radius is* $\theta\,\lambda$. *The eigenfunction* h *satisfies*

$$\|h\|_\theta \leq \frac{6\, s_0^M\, b_f}{\theta^2(1-\theta)}\, e^{4\, b_f/(1-\theta)}\, e^{2M|f|_\infty}$$

and

$$\min\, h \geq \frac{1}{e^{2\, b_f/(1-\theta)}\, s_0^M\, e^{2M|f|_\infty}}\,.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\min\, h}{|h|_\infty}\, \lambda^n \leq L_f^n 1 \leq \frac{|h|_\infty}{\min\, h}\, \lambda^n\,,$$

for any integer $n \geq 0$.

(ii) *The probability measure* $\hat{\nu} = h\,\nu$ *(this is the so called equilibrium state of* f*) is* σ*-invariant and* $\hat{\nu} = \nu_{\hat{f}}$, *where* $\hat{f} = f - \log(h \circ \sigma) + \log h - \log\lambda$. *Moreover* $L_{\hat{f}} 1 = 1$.

(iii) *For every* $g \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ *and every integer* $n \geq 0$ *we have*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda^n}\, L_f^n g - h \int g\, d\nu \right\|_\theta \leq D\, \rho^n\, \|g\|_\theta\,,$$

where we can take

$$\rho = \left(1 - \frac{1-\theta}{4\, s_0^{2M}\, e^{\frac{8\,\theta\, b_f}{1-\theta}}\, e^{4M\,|f|_\infty}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2M}} \in (0,1)$$

and

$$D = 10^8\, \frac{b_f^7}{\theta^{10}\,(1-\theta)^8}\, s_0^{17M}\, e^{40\, b_f/(1-\theta)}\, e^{33M\,|f|_\infty}.$$

Remark. The constants that appear in the above estimates are not optimal. The proof of Theorem 2 in [St] follows that in Sect. 1.B in [B] with a more careful analysis of the estimates involved. The main point here is that, apart from their obvious dependence on parameters related to the subshift of finite type $\sigma : \Sigma_A^+ \longrightarrow \Sigma_A^+$, these constant can be taken to depend only on $|f|_\theta$ and $|f|_\infty$.

2.2 Reductions

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ be the fixed function from Sect. 1 and let $\mu = \mu_f$ as before. It follows from the properties of pressure (see e.g. [R] or [PP]) that $\Pr(g + c) = \Pr(g) + c$ for every continuous function g and every constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, replacing f by $f - \Pr(f)$, we may assume that $\Pr(f) = 0$. Moreover, if g and h are cohomologous continuous functions on Σ_A^+, then $\Pr(g) = \Pr(h)$ and the equilibrium states μ_g of g and μ_h of h on Σ_A^+ coincide. Since f is cohomologous to a function $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ with $L_\phi 1 = 1$ (see e.g. [PP]), it is enough to prove the main result with f replaced by such a function ϕ. Moreover, $|\phi|_\infty$ and $|\phi|_\theta$ can be estimated by means of $|f - \Pr(f)|_\infty$ and $|f|_\theta$ (see e.g. part (ii) of Theorem 2 above).

So, from now on we will assume that $L_\phi 1 = 1$. It then follows that $\Pr(\phi) = 0$. Let $\mu = \mu_\phi$ be the *equilibrium state* of ϕ on Σ_A^+.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we may assume that $\psi \geq 0$. Indeed, assuming the statement of the theorem is true in this case, suppose ψ takes negative values. Set $\psi_1 = \psi + c$, where $c = -\min \psi$. Then $\psi_1 \geq 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \int \psi_1 \, d\mu = \tilde{\psi} + c$, $B_{\psi_1} = B_\psi$, and for $p_1 = p + c$ we have

$$\Gamma_1(q) = p_1 q - \Pr(\phi + q\psi_1) = (p+c)q - \Pr(\phi + q\psi + qc) = pq - \Pr(\phi + q\psi) = \Gamma(q)$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore (1.2) implies

$$I_\psi(p) = \sup\{pq - \Pr(\phi + q\psi) : q \in \mathbb{R}\} = \sup\{p_1 q - \Pr(\phi + q\psi_1) : q \in \mathbb{R}\} = I_{\psi_1}(p_1).$$

Moreover, if $0 < \delta_0 < B_\psi = B_{\psi_1}$, then $p \notin [\tilde{\psi} - \delta_0, \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0]$ is equivalent to $p_1 = p + c \notin [\tilde{\psi}_1 - \delta_0, \tilde{\psi}_1 + \delta_0]$. Since $|\psi_1|_\theta = |\psi|_\theta$ and $|\psi_1|_\infty \leq 2|\psi|_\infty$, using Theorem 1 for $I_{\psi_1}(p_1)$ and changing appropriately the value of the constant q_0, we get a similar estimate for $I_\psi(p)$.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1 for $\psi \geq 0$

From now on we will assume that $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ are fixed real-valued functions such that $\psi \geq 0$, ψ is not cohomologous to a constant, and

$$L_\phi 1 = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Given any $q \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$f_q = \phi + q\psi \quad , \quad L_q = L_{f_q}.$$

In what follows we will assume

$$|q| \leq q_0 \leq \frac{1}{b} \tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $q_0 > 0$ which will be chosen below. Then $|f_q|_\theta \leq |\phi|_\theta + 1$ for all q with (2.2), and also $|f_q|_\infty \leq |\phi|_\infty + |\psi|_\infty$. Thus, setting

$$C_0 = \|\phi\|_\theta + 2\max\{|\psi|_\infty, 1\} \geq 1,$$

we have

$$\|f_q\|_\theta \leq C_0 \quad , \quad |q| \in [0, q_0]. \tag{2.3}$$

Let ν_q be the *probability measure on* Σ_A^+ *with*

$$L_q^* \nu_q = \lambda_q \nu_q, \tag{2.4}$$

where λ_q is the *maximal eigenvalue* of $L_q = L_{f_q}$, and let $h_q > 0$ be a *corresponding normalised eigenfunction*, i.e. $h_q \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$, $L_q h_q = \lambda_q h_q$ and $\int h_q \, d\nu_q = 1$. Then $\mu_q = h_q \nu_q$ is the *equilibrium state* of f_q, i.e. $\mu_q = \mu_{\phi + q\psi}$. Clearly $h_0 = 1$ and $\mu_0 = \mu$.

Using the uniform estimates in Theorem 2 above, it follows from (2.3) that there exist constants $D \geq 1$ and $\rho \in (0,1)$, depending on C_0 but not on q_0, such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda_q^n} L_q^n g - h_q \int g \, d\nu_q \right\|_\theta \leq D \, \rho^n \, \|g\|_\theta \tag{2.5}$$

for all integers $n \geq 0$, all functions $g \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ and all q with $|q| \in [0, q_0]$.

Set $L = L_\phi$. Given $x \in \Sigma_A^+$ and $m \geq 0$, set $g_m(x) = g(x) + g(\sigma x) + \ldots + g(\sigma^{m-1}x)$.

It follows from (2.4) with $g = 1$ that $\lambda_q = \int L_q 1 \, d\nu_q$. Now

$$(L_q 1)(x) = \sum_{\sigma y = x} e^{f_q(y)} = \sum_{\sigma y = x} e^{\phi(y) + q\psi(y)} \leq e^{q_0|\psi|_\infty} \, (L\,1)(x) = e^{q_0|\psi|_\infty}$$

for all $x \in \Sigma_A^+$ implies $\lambda_q \leq e^{q_0|\psi|_\infty}$. Similarly, $\lambda_q \geq e^{-q_0|\psi|_\infty}$. Thus,

$$e^{-q_0 C_0} \leq \lambda_q \leq e^{q_0 C_0} \quad , \quad |q| \leq q_0. \tag{2.6}$$

To estimate h_q for q with (2.2), first use (2.5) with $g = 1$ to get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda_q^n} L_q^n 1 - h_q \right\|_\theta \leq D\,\rho^n.$$

Using (2.1), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_q(x) &\leq \frac{(L_q^n 1)(x)}{\lambda_q^n} + D\rho^n = \frac{1}{\lambda_q^n} \sum_{\sigma^n y = x} e^{(\phi + q\psi)_n(y)} + D\,\rho^n \\ &\leq \frac{e^{q_0 C_0 n}}{\lambda_q^n} \, (L\,1)(x) + D\,\rho^n \leq e^{2q_0 C_0 n} + D\,\rho^n \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \Sigma_A^+$ and $n \geq 0$. Similarly,

$$h_q \geq \frac{e^{-q_0 C_0 n}}{\lambda_q^n} \, (L\,1) - D\,\rho^n \geq e^{-2q_0 C_0 n} - D\,\rho^n$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\max\{0, e^{-2q_0 C_0 n} - D\rho^n\} \leq h_q \leq e^{2q_0 C_0 n} + D\rho^n \quad , \quad n \geq 0 \ , \ |q| \leq q_0. \tag{2.7}$$

From now on we will assume that $p \notin [\tilde{\psi} - \delta_0, \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0]$ **is fixed.** Consider the function

$$\Gamma(q) = pq - \Pr(\phi + q\psi) \quad , \quad q \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $I(p) = \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \Gamma(q)$.

Clearly, $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and moreover by (1.3),

$$\Gamma'(q) = p - \int \psi \, d\mu_{\phi + q\psi}. \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, $\Gamma'(0) = p - \tilde{\psi} \notin [-\delta_0, \delta_0]$.

We will now estimate the integral in the right-hand-side of (2.8). Let $\alpha > 0$ be the constant so that $\rho_1 = \max\{\rho, \theta\} = e^{-\alpha}$.

Lemma 1. *Assume that $\psi \geq 0$ on Σ_A^+ and $0 < \delta_0 < B_\psi = \tilde{\psi}$. Set*

$$q_0 = \min\left\{ \frac{\delta_0}{100 C_0^2 n_0}, \frac{1}{b} \right\}, \tag{2.9}$$

where n_0 is the integer with

$$n_0 - 1 \le \frac{1}{\alpha}\left|\log\frac{\delta_0}{16C_0D}\right| < n_0. \tag{2.10}$$

Then $\Gamma(q_0) \ge \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$ and $\Gamma(-q_0) \ge \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$.

Proof. For any $q \in [0, q_0]$ and any integer $n \ge 0$, (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\int \psi\, d\mu_q &= \int \psi h_q\, d\nu_q = \frac{1}{\lambda_q^n}\int L_q^n(\psi h_q)\, d\nu_q \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_q^n}\int \sum_{\sigma^n y = x} e^{(\phi+q\psi)_n(y)}\psi(y)h_q(y)\, d\nu_q(x) \\ &\le e^{2q_0C_0n}\,(e^{2qC_0n} + D\rho^n)\int L^n\psi\, d\nu_q.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.5) with $q = 0$ and $g = \psi$ and the choice of C_0 that

$$\left|L^n\psi - \int \psi\, d\mu\right| = \left|L^n\psi - \int \psi\, d\nu\right| \le D\,\rho^n C_0, \tag{2.11}$$

therefore $L^n\psi \le \tilde{\psi} + C_0 D\,\rho^n$. Combining this with the above gives

$$\int \psi\, d\mu_q \le e^{2q_0C_0n}\,(e^{2q_0C_0n} + D\rho^n)(\tilde{\psi} + C_0 D\,\rho^n). \tag{2.12}$$

Let $n_0 = n_0(f, \theta, \delta_0) \ge 1$ be *the integer such that*

$$e^{-n_0\alpha} < \frac{\delta_0}{16C_0D} \le e^{-(n_0-1)\alpha}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then $-n_0\alpha < \log\frac{\delta_0}{16C_0D} \le -(n_0-1)\alpha$, so n_0 satisfies (2.10). With this choice of n_0 define q_0 by (2.9). Then for $q \in [0, q_0]$ we have $12qC_0^2n_0 \le \delta_0/8$ and so $12qC_0n_0 \le 1$. It now follows from (2.12) with $q \in [0, q_0]$ and $n = n_0$, $0 < \delta_0 \le B_\psi = \tilde{\psi} \le C_0$, (2.13) and the fact that $e^x \le 1 + 3x$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\int \psi\, d\mu_q &\le (e^{4q_0C_0n_0} + De^{2q_0C_0n_0}e^{-\alpha n_0})(\tilde{\psi} + C_0 D\, e^{-\alpha n_0}) \\ &\le \left(1 + 12q_0C_0n_0 + (1 + 6q_0C_0n_0)\frac{\delta_0}{16C_0}\right)\left(\tilde{\psi} + \frac{\delta_0}{16}\right) \\ &\le \tilde{\psi} + 12q_0C_0^2n_0 + (1 + 6q_0C_0n_0)\frac{\delta_0}{16} + \left(2 + 2\frac{\delta_0}{16C_0}\right)\frac{\delta_0}{16} \\ &\le \tilde{\psi} + \frac{\delta_0}{8} + \frac{\delta_0}{8} + \frac{3\delta_0}{16} < \tilde{\psi} + \frac{\delta_0}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the case $p \ge \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0$, it follows from (2.8) that $\Gamma'(q) \ge \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ for all $q \in [0, q_0]$, and therefore $\Gamma(q_0) \ge \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$.

Next, assume that $p \le \tilde{\psi} - \delta_0$. We will now estimate $\int \psi\, d\mu_q$ from below for $q \in [-q_0, 0]$. As in the previous estimate, using (2.6) and (2.7), for such q we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int \psi\, d\mu_q &= \int \psi h_q\, d\nu_q = \frac{1}{\lambda_q^{n_0}}\int L_q^{n_0}(\psi h_q)\, d\nu_q \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_q^{n_0}}\int \sum_{\sigma^{n_0} y = x} e^{(\phi+q\psi)_{n_0}(y)}\psi(y)h_q(y)\, d\nu_q(x) \\ &\ge e^{-2q_0C_0n_0}\,(e^{-2q_0C_0n_0} - D\rho^{n_0})\int L^{n_0}\psi\, d\nu_q.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that by the choice of q_0 and n_0 we have $e^{-2q_0C_0n_0} - D\rho^{n_0} > 0$. In fact, it follows from $e^{-x} > 1 - x$ for $x > 0$ that $e^{-2q_0C_0n_0} > 1 - 2q_0C_0n_0$, while (2.13) implies $D\rho^{n_0} < \frac{\delta_0}{16C_0}$. Thus,

$$e^{-2q_0C_0n_0} - D\,\rho^{n_0} > 1 - 2q_0C_0n_0 - \frac{\delta_0}{16C_0} > 1 - \frac{\delta_0}{8C_0}.$$

On the other hand, (2.11) yields $\int L^{n_0}\psi\, d\nu_q \geq \tilde{\psi} - DC_0\rho^{n_0} > \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\delta_0}{16}$. Hence for $q \in [-q_0, 0]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \psi\, d\mu_q &\geq (1 - 2q_0C_0n_0)\left(1 - \frac{\delta_0}{8C_0}\right)\left(\tilde{\psi} - \frac{\delta_0}{16}\right) \\
&\geq \left(1 - 2q_0C_0n_0 - \frac{\delta_0}{8C_0}\right)\left(\tilde{\psi} - \frac{\delta_0}{16}\right) \\
&\geq \tilde{\psi} - \tilde{\psi}\left(2q_0C_0n_0 + \frac{\delta_0}{8C_0}\right) - \frac{\delta_0}{16} \geq \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\delta_0}{50} - \frac{\delta_0}{8} - \frac{\delta_0}{16} > \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\delta_0}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $q \in [-q_0, 0]$ we have

$$\Gamma'(q) = p - \int \psi\, d\mu_q \leq \tilde{\psi} - \delta_0 - (\tilde{\psi} - \delta_0/2) \leq -\frac{\delta_0}{2},$$

and therefore $\Gamma(-q_0) \geq \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume again that $\psi \geq 0$. Let $p \geq \tilde{\psi} + \delta_0$. Then $I(p) = \sup_{q\in\mathbf{R}} \Gamma(q)$, so by Lemma 1, $I(p) \geq \Gamma(q_0) \geq \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$. Similarly, for $p \leq \tilde{\psi} - \delta_0$ we get $I(p) \geq \frac{\delta_0 q_0}{2}$.

As explained in Sect. 2.2, the case of an arbitrary real-valued $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\Sigma_A^+)$ follows from the case $\psi \geq 0$. ■

Acknowledgement: Thanks are due to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[B] Bowen, R.: Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **470**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1975)

[KP] Kachurovskii, A., Podvigin, I.: Large deviations and rates of convergence in ergodic theorem: from Hölder continuity to continuity. Dokl. Math. **93**, 6-8 (2016)

[Kif] Kifer, Yu.: Large deviations in dynamical systems and stochastic processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321**, 505-524 (1990)

[La] Lalley, S.P.: Distribution of periodic orbits of symbolic and Axiom A flows. Adv. in Appl. Math. **8**, 154-193 (1987)

[LS] Leplaideur, R., Saussol, B.: Large Deviations for return times in open sets for the Axiom-A diffeomorphisms. Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. **22**, 327-344 (2008)

[OP] Orey, S., Pelikan, S.: Deviations of trajectory averages and the defect in Pesin's formula for Anosov diffeomorphisms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315**, 741-753 (1989)

[PP] Parry, W., Pollicott, M.: Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. Astérisque **187-188**, (1990)

[PeS] Petkov, V., and Stoyanov, L.: Sharp large deviations for some hyperbolic systems. Ergod. Th. & Dyn. Sys. **35**, 249-273, (2015)

[PoS] Pollicott, M., Sharp, R.: Large deviations, fluctuations and shrinking intervals. Comm. Math. Phys. **290**, 321-334 (2009)

[R] Ruelle, D.: Thermodynamic formalism, Encycl. Math. Appl. vol. **5**, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley (1978)

[St] Stoyanov, L.: On the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem. Asympt. Analysis **43**, 131-150 (2005)

[Y] Young, L.-S.: Large deviations in dynamical systems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318**, 525-543 (1990)